



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1640 DUKE STREET
 ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
 USA

(703) 413-3000
 (703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
 AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

FACSIMILE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

TO	Rebecca L. Anderson	DATE	April 23, 2003
	NAME		
	USPTO	FAX #	703-746-7444
	COMPANY/FIRM		
	NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 3	CONFIRM FAX: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
FROM	Thomas W. Barnes, III	219214US0PCT	
	NAME	OUR REFERENCE	
	703-412-3525	Serial # 10/049,645	
	DIRECT PHONE #	YOUR REFERENCE	

MESSAGE

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/049,645

Applicant(s)

MIYACHI ET AL.

Examiner

Rebecca L Anderson

Art Unit

1626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rebecca L Anderson.(3) Tom Barnes(2) Joe McKane(4) Vince ShierDate of Interview: 10/21/02Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.Identification of prior art discussed: EP 0 846 693 and US 5 883 522 / WO 97132863Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

Discussed amendment to duplicate claims to change to method claims within same scope as compounds. Also, Applicant will submit a 1.192 Declaration showing comparison between EP 0 846 693 and applicant's compounds (examples 38 and 26 suggested). Examiner will consider Declaration and amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required